JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Francis Gooding				DEFENDANTS New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Matthew C. Gaughan, Es Levin Sedran & Berman; Philadelphia, PA 19106	quire; Daniel C. Levin,	Esquire)		Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in One	Box Only)	III. C	ITIZENSHIP OF PI (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	S Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citi	PT izen of This State		PTF DEF	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Cit	izen of Another State	2		
				izen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Click here for: Nature of Suit							
CONTRACT	TOR	TS STATE OF THE ST		KORDBUURD/DENAMAY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	□ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment	PERSONAL INJUR' 365 Personal Injury Product Liability Product Liability Personal Injury Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEE 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending Property Damage Property Damage 7385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIO Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacat	of Property 2 690 Other TY	LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal	☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations ☐ 480 Consumer Credit ☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 895 Freedom of Information	
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Otl 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	her O	IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application 465 Other Immigration Actions		950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	emoved from	Appellate Court	R	(specif	ner District Litigatio Transfer	n - Litigation -	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON A5 USC Sec 51-6	iO iuse:		g (Do not cite jurisdictional st	atutes unless diversity):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: Plaintiff has injuries as a result of uns CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.				DEMAND \$			
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER _	· ·	
DATE // 3 // FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	7	SIGNATURE OF A		EY OF RECORD			
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFF	.	JUDGE	мад. Л	UDGE	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

FRANCIS GOODING :

240 Van Norstrend Avenue Jersey City, NJ 07305,

NO:

Plaintiff

VS.

:

NEW JERSEY TRANSIT RAIL OPERATIONS, :

INC.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

TWELVE JURORS REQUESTED

One Penn Plaza East

Newark, NJ 07105,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

I. PARTIES

- 1. The Plaintiff, Francis Gooding, is a competent adult individual whose address is 240 Van Nostrand Avenue, Jersey City, NJ 07305.
- 2. The Defendant, New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. (referred to as "NJT" or "Defendant"), is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New Jersey, whose principal place of business and address for service of process is One Penn Plaza East, Newark, New Jersey 07105.
- 3. This suit is brought pursuant to Acts of Congress known as the Federal Employers' Liability Act, Title 45 U.S.C. Secs. 51-60.

II. JURISDICTION

4. At all times material hereto, the Defendant was engaged in interstate commerce as common carrier by railroad operating a line and system of railroads in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and other states of the United States.

- 5. At all times material herein, Defendant was a railroad company operating a system of railroads in the Pennsylvania and through the District of New Jersey wherein this action is filed.
- 6. At all times material herein, Defendant was a common carrier engaged in the interstate commerce, and that plaintiff was employed by the defendant. Plaintiff was also engaged in interstate commerce.
- 7. Jurisdiction of this Court is based upon title 45, U.S.C. § 51 et seq., commonly known as the Federal Employees Liability Act.

III. FACTS

Count I FELA

- 8. At the time and place hereinafter mentioned, the acts of omission and commission causing injuries to the Plaintiff were done by the Defendant, its agents, servants, workmen and/or employees acting in the course and scope of their employment with and under the direct and exclusive control of the Defendant.
- 9. At the time and place hereinafter mentioned, the Plaintiff was employed by Defendant railroad as a carman and was acting in the scope of his employment by the Defendant and was engaged in the furtherance of interstate commerce within the meaning of said Act.
- 10. During Plaintiff's entire working career for NJT which includes October 31, 1996 through the present, while working within the scope of his employment in various locations throughout Defendant's railroad system at MMC/SSI in Kearney, New Jersey, Plaintiff was injured due to unsafe and inadequate working conditions. Plaintiff was employed at MMC and S&I Kearney, New Jersey.
- 11. This injury was caused in whole or in part by the negligence, carelessness and recklessness of the Defendant and its agents, servants, workmen and/or employees, acting within the scope of their employment, which negligence consisted of the following:

- levels/amounts of repetitive cumulative trauma and vibration produced by the work duties/tasks which the Plaintiff was required to perform for the Defendant;
- m) failing to solicit information as to the quantity and type of traumas suffered by the work force and then advising the plaintiff and other workers of the results of that accumulation of information; and,
- n) failing to provide the Plaintiff with protective equipment designed to protect him from repetitive cumulative trauma injuries as a result of exposure to repetitive occupational trauma and vibration.
- 12. Plaintiff is a carman and is required to lift heavy pipe wrenches, air hammers, air guns overhead to get to air brakes during his employment. While repairing cars, Plaintiff is required to utilize heavy bolts and hold them to get it in place. Thus, Plaintiff's job duties require a lot of reaching in overhead spaces blindly and, using equipment. Plaintiff is required to use ladders which require awkward body positions. He has a lot of reaching across his body with tools to complete the job.
- 13. Defendant failed to provide a safe and reasonable environment where Plaintiff was not required to use heavy objects overhead in awkward positions. Defendant should have provided a workspace that required less stress on the shoulder and the assistance of other employees.
- 14. On March 31, 2014, Plaintiff was diagnosed with left tendon and labrum and right and left shoulder tendon tear. Plaintiff has had surgery on his right and left shoulder.
- 15. As a direct result of the Defendant's negligence, through their agents, servants, workmen and/or employees, the Plaintiff suffered a left shoulder rotator cuff tear with impingement syndrome with left shoulder labral tear & right shoulder rotator cuff tear, labral tear, biceps tendon tear and

impingement.

16. As a direct result of the Defendant's negligence, through its agents, servants, workmen

and/or employees, the Plaintiff has been unable to attend to his usual duties and occupations, all of

which caused substantial financial loss and all of which may and probably will continue in the

future.

17. As a direct result of the Defendant's negligence, through its agents, servants, workmen

and/or employees, the Plaintiff has been and may continue to be required to receive and undergo

medical treatment and medical care, including a left shoulder arthroscopy with arthroscopic cuff

repair, arthroscopic debridement and acromioplasty and a right shoulder rotator cuff tear, labral tear,

biceps tendon tear and impingement which required right shoulder arthroscopy with arthroscopic

cuff repair, labral repair, biceps tenotomy and acromioplasty and has incurred reasonable and

necessary medical expenses, all of which may and probably will continue in the future.

18. As a direct result of the Defendant's negligence, through its agents, servants, workmen

and/or employees, the Plaintiff has sustained pain, suffering, inconvenience, stress and a loss of

enjoyment of life and may continue to suffer same for an indefinite period of time in the future.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants in an amount in

excess of FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS, (\$50,000.00).

Date: 6/13/17

BY:

LEVIN SEDRAN & BERMAN

MATTHEW C. GAUGHAN, ESQUIRE DANIEL C. LEVIN, ESQUIRE

510 Walnut Street, Suite 500

Philadelphia, PA 19102

(215) 592-1500

RAYMOND P. FORCENO, ESQUIRE THE FORCENO LAW FIRM, P.C. 510 Walnut Street, Suite 515 Philadelphia, PA 19106 (215) 732-1630

Counsel for Plaintiff